The Vaccine Study That Should Have Brought Down The Empire.

By Patricia Harrity | Nov. 17th, 2023

Send to Kindle

Print Friendly, PDF & Email

Big Pharma tried to bury a shocking study that found dangerous heavy metals in all vaccines tested. According to Jon Rappaport this 2017 by Dr. Gaiti opens up a whole new field: the investigation of nanoparticles in vaccines where none were expected. "Such particles are not medicine in any sense of the word," says Jon Rappaport who adds that it was a "bolt from the blue, a complete shocker" and he asks. "How many cases of childhood brain damage and autism can be laid at the door of nanoparticle contamination?"

Jon Rappaport wrote "an extensive piece" on the study and has updated it this week. I think this information will anger and upset an awful lot of people like myself who have had children who have died of SIDS, have brain damage or autism and certainly should have brought down the empire.

by Jon Rappaport

When I discovered this study several years ago and wrote the following extensive piece on it, the study was a bolt from the blue, a complete devastating shocker.

It still is.

It is more than enough to topple the whole vaccine empire.

Honoring the work of the study co-author, Dr. Antonietta Gatti, Catherine Austin Fitts wrote, "Not long after the publication of this revolutionary study, tax authorities raided and investigated Dr. Gatti's and [her husband] Dr. Montanari's laboratory and private home—an all too usual method of intimidation."

THAT was the "scientific follow-up."

In a nutshell, Dr. Gatti's 2017 study showed an incredible amount of contamination, in a whole host of traditional vaccines. The contamination was in the form of tiny nanoparticles, mostly metallic, and obviously highly harmful and dangerous.

Before reading my summary and analysis of that study—here is an updated communication from Dr. Gatti I received a few days ago. It describes, in a stark and disturbing fashion, what has been happening to her, her work, and her laboratory. This is chilling:

"At the end of last year, our laboratory no longer had the financial capacity to continue its research. The proceeds from the few analyzes requested by private individuals yielded enormously less than what the research cost us. Then, there were two possibilities: close everything or set up a foundation by giving away everything that belonged to us, hoping to find some sponsors. After all, all initiatives, even the most bizarre, find someone willing to contribute financially. Why not a foundation that does fundamental research on health? So, we opted for the latter choice, and the Nanodiagnostics Foundation was born."

"But, after almost a year, not a cent has arrived. In short, no company, no private citizen, no institution is willing to contribute."

"Many people continue to demand results and ask questions to which they have no answers from the institutions or their doctors, but, if it is a question of parting from some money, the silence is absolute."

"It is clear that our work is a threat to billion-dollar businesses that are not exactly clear, at least for most people. For this reason, the most absurd and incredible slanders are invented to our detriment.

Not being able to dispute our scientific results, there are those who publish, usually anonymously, that we earn enormous sums of money, even giving the impression that the Foundation belongs to us, when it should be known that foundations

do not belong to anyone, and no one can profit from them. And this is when we have donated everything that belonged to us, and we work for free."

"Another tactic is trying to isolate and discredit us with lies. What the University of Bologna did a few days ago, the university where I graduated, then specialized and taught, is a small example."

"A few months ago, that university asked us if we were willing to accept [a] student... who would prepare her graduation thesis with us. We agreed and agreed with the student on how to proceed. A few months passed, then, a couple of weeks ago, when the University authorities realized that the student would work with us, they sent us a message of a few lines in which they informed us that what we do (and which I had taught at that university) was of no interest to them (which, in a way, is true, although very far from the mission of the University). Needless to say, my letter to the Rector asking for explanations remained unanswered."

"And it is also useless to say how difficult it is to publish the results that we continue to obtain, and which are not liked by those who financially maintain the medical journals, on whose scientific nature I prefer not to comment. For twice the Editor after the publication of an article (on vaccines and on SIDS) asked to retreat [sic] them. Only the work of the Robert Kennedy Jr lawyers stopped the request."

"[Paper:] Novel chemical-physical autopsy investigation in sudden infant death and sudden intrauterine unexplained death syndromes" (click here)

"Just for your information, in spite of all difficulties, we are now dealing with very critical topics: spontaneously aborted babies, analysis of the brains of infants who died in cots (Sudden Infant Death Syndrome, aka SIDS), analysis of what falls from the sky (e.g., recently hail never seen before), food, etc. All this can only be fought with personal discredit."

"We haven't had any visits from the regime for a long time. For them it is enough to monitor our computers and phones. The rest is done by 'volunteers'. As for other scientists, no one deals with our topics in full. It must be realized that doing so represents a risk that is obviously preferable not to take."

"As long as we can manage, we will continue to work. If, however, no sponsor materializes (idle chatter and empty promises are not only useless: they are a waste of time,) we will have no other option than to declare defeat, a defeat that belongs to the whole world and, above all, to the children who do not deserve the fate they are suffering."

"...I give some details of our Foundation Nanodiagnostics (click here)..."

IF YOU CAN, PLEASE DONATE TO Dr. Gatti's vital work at the above website.

Here is my original article on Dr. Gatti's vaccine-contamination study:

Dangerous nano-particles contaminating many vaccines: groundbreaking study

"The Lung," Second Edition: "Nanoparticles [are] comparable in size to subcellular structures...enabling their ready incorporation into biological systems."

A 2017 study of 44 types of 15 traditional vaccines, manufactured by leading global companies, has uncovered a very troubling and previously unreported fact:

The vaccines are heavily contaminated with a variety of nanoparticles.

Many of the particles are metals.

Subscribe

We're talking about traditional vaccines, such as HPV, flu, Swine Flu, Hepatitis B, MMR, DPT, tetanus, etc.

To begin to understand some of the destructive effects of contaminating nanoparticles in vaccines, here is the groundbreaking 2017 study:

International Journal of Vaccines & Vaccination Volume 4 Issue 1 January 23 2017 New Quality-Control Investigations on Vaccines: Micro- and Nanocontamination Antonietta M Gatti and Stefano Montanari (Paper archived here and here)

"The analyses carried out show that in all samples checked vaccines contain non biocompatible and bio-persistent foreign bodies which are not declared by the Producers, against which the body reacts in any case. This new investigation represents a new quality control that can be adopted to assess the safety of a vaccine. Our hypothesis is that this contamination is unintentional, since it is probably due to polluted components or procedures of industrial processes (e.g. filtrations) used to produce vaccines..."

Are the study authors leaving the door open to the possibility that the contamination is intentional?

"The quantity of foreign bodies detected and, in some cases, their unusual chemical compositions baffled us. The inorganic particles identified are neither biocompatible nor biodegradable, that means that they are biopersistent and can induce effects that can become evident either immediately close to injection time or after a certain time from administration. It is important to remember that particles (crystals and not molecules) are bodies foreign to the organism and they behave as such. More in particular, their toxicity is in some respects different from that of the chemical elements composing them, adding to that toxicity...they induce an inflammatory reaction."

"After being injected, those microparticles, nanoparticles and aggregates can stay around the injection site forming swellings and granulomas...But they can also be carried by the blood circulation, escaping any attempt to guess what will be their final destination...As happens with all foreign bodies, particularly that small, they induce an inflammatory reaction that is chronic because most of those particles cannot be degraded. Furthermore, the protein-corona effect...due to a nano-bio-interaction...can produce organic/inorganic composite particles capable of stimulating the immune system in an undesirable way...It is impossible not to add that particles the size often observed in vaccines can enter cell nuclei and interact with the DNA..."

"In some cases, e.g. as occurs with Iron and some Iron alloys, they can corrode and the corrosion products exert a toxicity affecting the tissues..."

"Given the contaminations we observed in all samples of human-use vaccines, adverse effects after the injection of those vaccines are possible and credible and have the character of randomness, since they depend on where the contaminants are carried by the blood circulation. It is only obvious that similar quantities of these foreign bodies can have a more serious impact on very small organisms like those of children. Their presence in the muscles...could heavily impair the muscle functionality..."

"We come across particles with chemical compositions, similar to those found in the vaccines we analyzed, when we study cases of environmental contamination caused by different pollution sources. In most circumstances, the combinations detected are very odd as they have no technical use, cannot be found in any material handbook and look like the result of the random formation occurring, for example, when waste is burnt. In any case, whatever their origin, they should not be present in any injectable medicament, let alone in vaccines, more in particular those meant for infants."

This 2017 study opens up a whole new field: the investigation of nanoparticles in vaccines where none were expected.

Such particles are not medicine in any sense of the word.

Many legal and scientific "experts" assert the State has a right to mandate vaccines and force them on the population. But these contaminating nanoparticles are not vaccines or medicines. Only a lunatic would defend the right of the State to inject them.

Here is another section from the 2017 study. Trade names of vaccines, and compositions of the nanoparticle contaminants are indicated. Take a deep breath and buckle up:

"...further presence of micro-, sub-micro- and nanosized, inorganic, foreign bodies (ranging from 100nm to about ten microns) was identified in all cases [all 44 vaccines], whose presence was not declared in the leaflets delivered in the package of the product..."

"...single particles, cluster of micro- and nanoparticles (less than 100nm) and aggregates...debris of Aluminum, Silicon, Magnesium and Titanium; of Iron, Chromium, Silicon and Calcium particles...arranged in a cluster, and Aluminum-Copper debris...in an aggregate."

"...the particles are surrounded and embedded in a biological substrate. In all the samples analyzed, we identified particles containing: Lead (Typhym, Cervarix, Agrippal S1, Meningitec, Gardasil) or stainless steel (Mencevax, Infarix Hexa, Cervarix. Anatetall, Focetria, Agrippal S1, Menveo, Prevenar 13, Meningitec, Vaxigrip, Stamaril Pasteur, Repevax and MMRvaxPro)."

"...particles of Tungsten identified in drops of Prevenar and Infarix (Aluminum, Tungsten, Calcium chloride)."

"...singular debris found in Repevax (Silicon, Gold, Silver) and Gardasil (Zirconium)."

"Some metallic particles made of Tungsten or stainless steel were also identified. Other particles containing Zirconium, Hafnium, Strontium and Aluminum (Vivotif, Meningetec); Tungsten, Nickel, Iron (Priorix, Meningetec); Antimony (Menjugate kit); Chromium (Meningetec); Gold or Gold, Zinc (Infarix Hexa, Repevax), or Platinum, Silver, Bismuth, Iron, Chromium (MMRvaxPro) or Lead,Bismuth (Gardasil) or Cerium (Agrippal S1) were also found. The only Tungsten appears in 8/44 vaccines, while Chromium (alone or in alloy with Iron and Nickel) in 25/44. The investigations revealed that some particles are embedded in a biological substrate, probably proteins, endo-toxins and residues of bacteria. As soon as a particle comes in contact with proteic fluids, a nano-bio-interaction...occurs and a 'protein corona' is formed...The nanobio-interaction generates a bigger-sized compound that is not biodegradable and can induce adverse effects, since it is not recognized as self by the body."

"...examples of these nano-bio-interactions. Aggregates can be seen (stable composite entities) containing particles of Lead in Meningitec... of stainless steel (Iron, Chromium and Nickel...) and of Copper, Zinc and Lead in Cervarix...Similar aggregates, though in different situations (patients suffering from leukemia or cryoglobulinemia), have already been described in literature."

I'm sure you've read official assurances that vaccine-manufacturing problems are "rare." You can file those pronouncements along with other medical lies.

"I'd like the heavy metal sandwich on rye, please. And instead of serving it on a plate, can you inject it?"

Several vital questions demanding answers spring from the findings of this 2017 study:

Are some of these nanoparticles intentionally placed in vaccines?

Does the standard manufacturing process for traditional vaccines INEVITABLY lead to dangerous and destructive nanocontamination?

New nano-technology is already being employed to create several vaccines—supposedly "improving effectiveness." In fact, the RNA COVID-19 vaccine are a nano-type. Does this manufacturing process carry with it the unavoidable effect of unleashing a hurricane of nanoparticle contaminants?

How many cases of childhood brain damage and autism can be laid at the door of nanoparticle contamination?

And finally, where are these contaminated vaccines manufactured? The above study did not attempt to discover this. It was outside the scope of the research. It's common knowledge that, for example, in the case of the US, vaccines or their components, are, in many instances, not produced domestically. Where does this put control of safety? In, say, China, where there have been numerous pharmaceutical scandals connected to contamination of products?

The vaccine establishment does not show the slightest interest in answering any of these questions. They are busy pretending the questions don't exist.

Trusting the establishment would be suicidal.